FILED FEB 2 1 2025

By: M. Garland

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

TRISHA TEPERSON, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NOGIN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,
14 JUSTICE BRAND HOLDINGS, LLC, a New York Limited Liability Company, BLUESTAR 15 | ALLIANCE LLC, a New York Limited Liability Company, B. RILEY SECURITIES, INC., a 16 Delaware Corporation, and B. RILEY PRINCIPAL INVESTMENTS, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2023-00041084-CU-NP-NC [E-FILE]

CLASS-ACTION

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT

26 27

28

On February 21, 2025, this Court heard plaintiff Trisha Teperson's motion for final approval of the class action settlement. This Court reviewed: (a) the motion and the supporting papers, including the Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement Agreement"); (b) any objections filed with or presented to the Court; (c) the Parties' responses to any objections; and (d) counsels' arguments. Based on this review and the findings below, the Court found good cause to grant the motion.

FINDINGS:

- 1. Upon review of the record, the Court hereby finds that the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and therefore approves it.
- 2. The Court also finds that extensive arm's-length negotiations have taken place, in good faith, between Class Counsel, Nogin's Counsel, and the other Defendants' counsel, if any, resulting in the Settlement Agreement. Parts of these negotiations were presided over by the experienced JAMS Mediator Hon. Edward A. Infante (Ret.).
- 3. The Settlement Agreement provides substantial value to the Class in the form of Merchandise Certificates.
- 4. Defendants provided notice to Class Members in compliance with the Settlement Agreement, due process, and California Rules of Court, rules 3.766 and 3.769(f). The notices: (i) fully and accurately informed Class Members about the lawsuit and Settlement; (ii) provided sufficient information so that Class Members could decide whether to accept the benefits offered, opt-out and pursue their own remedies, or object to the Settlement; (iii) provided procedures for Class Members to submit written objections to the proposed Settlement, to appear at the hearing, and to state objections to the proposed Settlement; and (iv) provided the time, date, and place of the final Fairness Hearing.
 - 5. The Parties adequately performed their obligations under the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. For the reasons stated in the Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement and Provisional Class Certification Order, and having found nothing in any submitted objections that would disturb these previous findings, this Court finds and determines that the proposed Class, as defined below, meets all of the legal requirements for Class certification, for Settlement purposes only, under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382.

¹ Capitalized terms in this Order, unless otherwise defined, have the same definitions as those terms in the Settlement Agreement

- 8. Incentive award to plaintiff Trisha Teperson of \$2,500 total is fair and reasonable in light of: (a) Plaintiff's risks (including financial, professional, and emotional) in commencing this action as the Class Representative; (b) the time and effort spent by Plaintiff in litigating this action as the Class Representative; and (c) Plaintiff's public interest service.
- 9. Nogin's entry into the Settlement Agreement is solely for purposes of convenience as the initial named defendant in the Action. As set forth in the Confirmation Order, Plan and EPA, Nogin Holdings acquired certain assets from Nogin through its acquisition of the reorganized equity interests in accordance with the Confirmation Order, Plan and EPA. Nogin is no longer affiliated with Nogin Commerce, and Nogin's chapter 11 case remains pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. Class Members. The Class Members are defined as:

All persons who, from April 1, 2021 to October 31, 2023, purchased at shopjustice.com one or more items, which item(s) was not returned by, or on behalf of, the purchaser, or otherwise and who also received direct notice of the settlement via email. Excluded from the Class is Nogin's Counsel, Nogin's officers and directors, and the judge presiding over the Action

- 2. **Binding Effect of Order**. This Order applies to all claims or causes of action settled under the Settlement Agreement, and binds all Class Members, including those who did not properly request exclusion under Paragraph 7 of the Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement and Provisional Class Certification Order. This order does not bind persons who submitted timely and valid Requests for Exclusion. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a list of persons who properly requested to be excluded from the Settlement.
- 3. Release. Plaintiff and all Class Members who did not properly request exclusion are: (a) deemed to have released and discharged the Defendants and Nogin Commerce from all claims arising out of or asserted in this Action released under the Settlement Agreement; and (b) barred and permanently

enjoined from asserting, instituting, or prosecuting, either directly or indirectly, these claims. The full terms of the release described in this paragraph are set forth in Sections 2.8 and 2.9 of the Settlement Agreement and are specifically incorporated herein by this reference.

- 4. Class Relief. Each Class Member who received direct notice via email and who did not timely and validly request to be excluded from or object to the Settlement will receive one (1) Merchandise Certificate.
- 5. Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Class Counsel is awarded \$600,000 in fees and costs, which amount shall be reduced by the amount of any Claims Administrator Costs paid or payable by Nogin Commerce. Payment shall be made by Nogin Commerce pursuant to the timeline stated in Section 2.4 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. **Incentive Award**. Plaintiff Trisha Teperson is awarded \$2,500 total as an Individual Settlement Award. Payment shall be made by Nogin Commerce pursuant to the timeline stated in Section 2.3 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. Nothing in this Order or the Settlement Agreement shall (i) impair, modify or otherwise alter the terms of the Confirmation Order, Plan and EPA, (ii) grant any Party, Class Member (whether participating or opting out) or any other claimant rights inconsistent with the terms of the Confirmation Order, Plan and EPA and (iii) impose any obligations, financial or otherwise, upon Nogin.
- 8. Court's Jurisdiction. Pursuant to the Parties' request, the Court will retain jurisdiction over this action and the Parties to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, Defendant's installment payments of Class Counsel's attorney fee award, pursuant to Section 2.4 of the Settlement Agreement

Dated: rebruary 21, 2025

Cynthia A Freeland SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

CYNTHIA A. FREELAND